

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.: 10	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 3/9/06	4. PURCHASE REQUISITION NO.:	5A. SOLICITATION/CONTRACT/PROJECT TITLE
			5B. PROJECT NO (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL United States Capitol Washington, D.C. 20515		7. ADDRESS AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION TO Architect of the Capitol Procurement Division Ford House Office Building, Room H2-263 Attn: Christopher Blumberg Second and "D" Streets, S.W. Washington, DC 20515	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. 050019
			9B. DATED (See Item 11) September 26, 2005
			10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
			10B. DATED (See Item 13)

11. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offers is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment by March 17, 2006, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment;

FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO March 17, 2006 MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS AND MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

Check One	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.		
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b)(1)		
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:		
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)		
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor _____ is not, _____ is required to sign this document and return it to the issuing office.			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION SEE CONTINUATION PAGE Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.			
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. OFFEROR/CONTRACTOR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA By _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

CONTINUATION PAGE TO AOC 30

Page 2

AMENDMENT NO.: 10 Solicitation No.: 050019

This amendment is issued as follows:

- 1) Clarify Section M, Factor C “Operational Plan and Proposed Services.” In Section L of the RFP, pages L-84 through L-89 provide the Proposal Format requirements of Proposal Section C. A list of the significant subfactors and their relative importance are being added to Section M to address these Section L Proposal Format requirements.
- 2) Revised proposals are not being requested for this amendment.
- 3) The following pages shall be removed and replaced. The replaced pages contain black lines in the right hand margin which indicate text that has been changed.

Remove Page
M-102

Insert Page
M-102

Attachment

- (C) Operational Plan and Proposed Services
Technical Subfactors
Factor C Subfactors for the CVC portion.
 (a) Foodservice Locations
 (b) Catering & Special Event Services
 (c) Management and Organization (includes Onsite Management and Organization, Alcoholic Beverages, Exclusive Rights, Other Information)
- Factor C Subfactors for the House and Senate portions.
 (a) Foodservice Locations
 (b) Catering & Special Event Services
 (c) Management and Organization (includes Onsite Management and Organization, Alcoholic Beverages, Exclusive Rights, Other Information)
 (d) Convenience Store
 (e) Vending

Price Factor

(D) Financial Proposal and Projections

- a. During the Pre-Qualification evaluation, Factor A (Company Information and Ability to Finance) and Factor B (Clients/Other Foodservice/Restaurant Operations) are of equal importance.
- b. During the Proposal Evaluation, the ratings of Factors A and B will be considered along with the rating of Factor C. Factor C is more important than Factors A and B combined. The Technical Factors are considered to be generally more important than the Price Factor. However, as the difference in technical merit between the proposals becomes less significant, the relative importance of the price will increase.
- c. Factor C Subfactors are listed in descending order of importance.

- .10 Proposals will be rated by an adjectival method. The Entities will read and assess proposals. Proposals will be assigned an adjectival rating for each Technical Factor. The following descriptive adjectival ratings will be used when evaluating the Technical proposals:
- OUTSTANDING: Very comprehensive, in-depth, clear response. The offeror has demonstrated an approach which significantly exceeds stated requirements in a beneficial way. Consistently high quality performance can be expected.
- EXCELLENT: Extensive, detailed response to all requirements similar to outstanding in quality, but with minor areas of unevenness or spottiness. High quality performance is likely but not assured due to minor omissions or areas where less than high performance might be expected.
- ACCEPTABLE: The Offeror has demonstrated an approach which is considered to meet the stated requirements and demonstrated a good probability of success. There is an average risk that this offeror would fail to meet the quantity, quality, and schedule requirements of the solicitation. Weaknesses are not major.
- MARGINAL: The offeror has demonstrated an approach which fails to meet all the stated requirements. The response is considered marginal in terms of the basic content and amount of information provided. There is a low probability of success. Although considered marginal because of deficiencies, they are susceptible to being made acceptable through discussions.
- UNACCEPTABLE: The offeror has demonstrated an approach which significantly fails to meet the stated requirements. What was submitted lacks essential information or is conflicting and unproductive. There is no reasonable likelihood of success; deficiencies are so major or extensive that a major revision to the proposal would be necessary.